

To: Mahler, Tom[mahler.tom@epa.gov]
From: Vann, Bradley
Sent: Mon 2/29/2016 4:19:00 PM
Subject: FW: USACE Backcheck of Revised Phase 1 Comprehensive
Comp P1 Report comments_combined.docx
WLLF Comp Ph 1 Invest Rpt-USACE Bkchk.pdf

FYI...for your review. Justin and I will compile.

Bradley Vann - Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Superfund Division
Missouri/Kansas Remedial Branch
11201 Renner Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219
Phone: 913-551-7611
Fax: 913-551-9611
Cell: 816-714-0331

-----Original Message-----

From: Vann, Bradley
Sent: Monday, February 29, 2016 9:51 AM
To: Barker, Justin <barker.justin@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: USACE Backcheck of Revised Phase 1 Comprehensive

Bradley Vann - Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Superfund Division
Missouri/Kansas Remedial Branch
11201 Renner Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219
Phone: 913-551-7611
Fax: 913-551-9611
Cell: 816-714-0331

-----Original Message-----

From: Kiefer, Robyn V NWK [mailto:Robyn.V.Kiefer@usace.army.mil]
Sent: Friday, February 26, 2016 10:58 AM
To: Vann, Bradley <Vann.Bradley@epa.gov>
Subject: USACE Backcheck of Revised Phase 1 Comprehensive

Brad:

USACE has backchecked all comments we made on the RP's revised Phase 1 Comprehensive Investigation Report for West Lake Landfill. All comments have been satisfactorily addressed with the exception of the following:

Specific Review Comment 4. The section that stated the geotechnical data are included in Appendix A3 was removed from the revised version. We could not find any confirmation that the geotechnical data collected is adequate for proper design of the isolation barrier.

Specific Review Comment 9. USACE defers to EPA on whether EPA concurs with current language regarding movement and location of SSE.

Specific Review Comment 27. The RP's addressed the distance below, but didn't mention borings 1-2 and 8-1 also being below the 1972 and 1975 elevations. Without a response to comments from the RPs, we cannot determine if this was an oversight by the RPs or if there was another reason these borings were not included in the text.

Specific Review Comment 32. Qualifiers were defined, but the "unrestricted use" limit for each constituent was not included nor were those that exceeded the limit highlighted. Not implementing this does not impact the report content, this is merely an editorial comment to make report easier to review for the public.

Specific Review Comment 33. Qualifiers were not defined, nor were the "unrestricted use" limit for each constituent included nor were those that exceeded the limit highlighted. Recommend the qualifiers be defined. Not implementing the unrestricted use limit or highlighting those that exceed those limits does not impact the report content, this is merely an editorial comment to make report easier to review for the public.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Robyn

Robyn Kiefer
Project Manager
US Army Corps of Engineers
Kansas City District

Phone: 816-389-3615